Effects of the Dopamine D-1 Antagonist SCH 23390 and the D-2 Antagonist Sulpiride on Saline Acceptance-Rejection in Water-Deprived Rats

DAVID B. GILBERT¹ AND STEVEN J. COOPER²

Department of Psychology. University of Birmingham. Birmingham, BI5 2TT. U.K.

Received 6 August 1986

GILBERT. D. B. AND S. J. COOPER. *Effects of the dopamine D-1 antagonist SCH 23390 and the D-2 antagonist* sulpiride on saline acceptance-rejection in water-deprived rats. PHARMACOL BIOCHEM BEHAV 26(4) 687-691, 1987.~Separate groups of water-deprived rats were familiarised with drinking water or one of a range of NaCI solutions $(0.45-2.7\%)$ in a 30 min test. The substituted benzamide, sulpiride, a selective dopamine D-2 receptor antagonist, signifcantly increased the consumption of water and hypotonic saline at 30 mg/kg. In contrast, the selective dopamine D-I receptor antagonist. SCH 23390 (0.01-0.1 mg/kg SC) significantly reduced the intake of water and of saline at different concentrations in a dose-dependent manner. Consumption of water and 0.45% saline were most sensitive to the antidipsogenic effect of SCH 23390. These results suggest that previously-reported antidipsogenic effects of neuroleptics may depend, to at least some degree, on dopamine D-1 receptor blockade. The increase in drinking produced by sulpiride indicates that dopamine may act at D-2 receptors to inhibit the consumption of water and hypotonic saline, but not of stronger salt solutions.

IT is well-established that dopamine receptor antagonists tor subtype [28] allows us to investigate D-1/D-2 re-
(e.g., haloperidol, spiroperidol, pimozide) reduce the con-
ceptor involvement in the antidipsogenic effects o sumption of water [3. 14, 22. 24, 25.27, 29]. This, together antagonists. Exploring this question in the context of sali with data showing that intracerebral administration of acceptance-rejection functions also permits us to determi dopamine can increase water consumption [23.27], suggests effects on the consumption of NaCl solutions over a range of some involvement of central dopamine mechanisms in the concentrations. In the present work, the selecti control of drinking responses [6]. However, experiments ceptor antagonist, SCH 23390, and the substituted ben-
with dopamine agonists or antagonists have not previously zamide, sulpiride, which is a selective D-2 receptor been extended to include a study of saline acceptance-
tagonist $[4, 15-17, 28]$ were chosen for study. $[3H]$ SCH rejection functions in rehydrating animals $[7, 8, 30]$. Hence, 23390 specific binding sites and $[³H]$ sulpiride specific bindthere are few data currently available concerning the possi- ing sites have been described in the central nervous system, ble involvement of dopaminergic mechanisms in the control and have been taken to correspond to D-1 and D-2 receptors, of saline drinking. Furthermore, the antidipsogenic effect of respectively [2, 5, 10-12]. dopamine receptor antagonists was described before the crucial pharmacological distinction had been drawn between METHOD D-1 and D-2 receptor subtypes [18]. Consequently, there is presently no indication of which receptor subtype mediates *Animals* the antidipsogenic effects of dopamine antagonists; there is The subjects were 96 male, black hooded rats (General
the possibility, of course, that both are involved. The strain) bred in the Psychology Department. Universi

which are selective for one or other type of dopamine recep-cages, and were given free access to food pellets (modified

ceptor involvement in the antidipsogenic effects of dopamine concentrations. In the present work, the selective D-1 rezamide, sulpiride, which is a selective D-2 receptor an-

the possibility, of course, that both are involved.
The recent introduction of dopamine receptor antagonists Birmingham. They were housed in pairs in stainless steel Birmingham. They were housed in pairs in stainless steel

[~]Present address: Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, College of Medicine. University of California. Irvine. CA 92717. ²Requests for reprints should be addressed to S. J. Cooper.

FIG. 1. Effect of sulpiride (30 mg/kg IP) on consumption of water and NaCl solutions (0.45-2.7%) after 15 min (panel A) and 30 min (panel B). Results are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. N=8 per group. Levels of significance in comparison with vehicle condition: γ < 0.05; **p < 0.01 (Dunnett's t-test).

FIG. 2. Effects of SCH 23390 (0.03 and 0.1 mg/kg SC) on consumption of water and NaCl solutions $(0.45-2.7%)$ after 15 min (panel A) and 30 min (panel B). For other details, see legend to Fig. 1.

Diet 41B, Heygate and Sons, U.K.). They were maintained RESULTS under a 12 hr light:12 hr dark schedule (lights on at 7 a.m.) *Sulpiride: D-2 Antagonist* and the room temperature was kept constant at 21-22°C. Before testing, the rats were thoroughly adapted to a 22 hr The rehydrating animals showed typical saline accepwater-deprivation schedule, and to handling and injection tance/rejection functions at 15 and 30 min (Fig. 1). water-deprivation schedule, and to handling and injection tance/rejection functions at 15 and 30 min (Fig. 1). The peak procedures over a period of two weeks. The animals weighed intake occurred for the 0.9% NaCl solution, procedures over a period of two weeks. The animals weighed between $250-400$ g at testing.

the dimensions of which were $25 \times 25 \times 22.5$ cm. Each box had a hole (6 cm above the floor) in the front of the box, significant increases in fluid consumption. As Fig. 1 indi-
through which the spout of a drinking tube was presented. cates, the significant effects were entirely through which the spout of a drinking tube was presented. cates, the significant effects were entirely specific to the Saline solutions and water were available in inverted clear water and hypotonic saline $(0.45\%$ and Saline solutions and water were available in inverted clear plastic 50 ml graduated cylinders, which were clipped into position on the outside of each box. Each box had an opaque cover to minimise disturbance to the animal during the sulpiride on the consumption of isotonic or hypertonic
drinking test drinking test.

was suspended in isotonic saline, and a few drops of 0.1 N overall main effect of SCH 23390 was to reduce fluid consump-
HCl were added until the suspension clarified. The sulpiride solution was buffered with a few drops of 10% KOH. Sulpiride, at doses of 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg, was injected by in-
piride, at doses of 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg, was injected by in-
general depression of the saline acceptance-rejection functraperitoneal route 1 hour before acceptance tests. SCH general depression of the same acceptance-rejection func-
2.2200 $(P_1(t)$ 8 akkara 2.2.4 5 tetrahides 3 methods for heard. Only the intake of the 2.7% NaCl solution w 23390 $(R-(+)$ -8-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-
111.2 horsespacies Z_2) relates (sumplied by Scharing significantly reduced. However, its effects at 0.03 mg/kg $1H-3-benzazepine-7-ol$) maleate (supplied by Schering significantly reduced. However, its effects at 0.03 mg/kg
Player Carn Bloomfold NI) was dissolved in 0.0% soling were more selective. There were significant reductions in th Plough Corp., Bloomfield, NJ) was dissolved in 0.9% saline, were more selective. There were significant reductions in the consumption of water, hypotonic and isotonic solutions, but SCH 23390, at doses of 0.01 , 0.03 and 0.1 mg/kg, was injected by subcutaneous route 35 mm before acceptance two hypertonic solutions. This differential effect cannot be two hypertonic solutions. This differential effect cannot be two hypertonic solutions. This differential ef tests. All injections were given in a volume of 1 mg/kg body attributed to baseline differences. SCH 23390 (0.03 mg/kg) weight. The doses and injection-test intervals were selected and reduced water intervals from a contr weight. The doses and injection-test intervals were selected reduced water intake from a control level of 12.7 ml down to on the basis of published reports.

in the test boxes, for a 30 min period, until steady baseline conditions most sensitive to the suppressant effect of 23390 were water and 0.45% saline, respectively. levels of drinking were obtained. The animals were divided randomly into two groups: 48 rats to be tested with sulpiride,
saline acceptance after 30 min. There was a significant overand 48 with SCH 23390. Each group was further sub-divided and 46 with SCH 25350. Each group was further sub-groups was assigned all main effect, F(3,126)=52.0, p<0.001, and a significant interaction of these sub-groups was assigned drug \times salt concentration interaction, F(15, to water, 0.45%, 0.675%, 0.9%, 1.8% or 2.7% saline condi-
 $p < 0.05$. At 0.01 mg/kg, SCH 23390 had no effect on con-
tings, respectively. Drigling water or and of these saline. tions, respectively. Drinking water or one of these saline $p \sim 0.05$. At 0.01 mg/kg, SCH 23390 had no effect on con-
sumption, at 0.03 mg/kg it selectively reduced the intake of solutions, following 22 hr water-deprivation experience, sumption, at 0.03 mg/kg it selectively reduced the intake of water, hypotonic and isotonic saline, and at 0.1 mg/kg it each rat was familiarised with its allocated fluid condition. Water, hypotonic and isotonic same, and at 0.1 mg/kg it
Each oriental use injected with each does within its drug, significantly reduced intake in all fluid con Each animal was injected with each dose within its drug significantly reduced interests. condition, and doses were tested in random order. At least a 48 hr interval intervened between consecutive drug test days. Intake data (ml) were measured at 15 min and 30 min DISCUSSION from the start of the test period.

The acceptance data were analysed using a 2-way was sufficient to produce a pronounced antidipsogenic ef-
analysis of variance, with saline concentration as an inde-
fect. Nevertheless, there was some specificity in the ef analysis of variance, with saline concentration as an inde-

pendent factor (six levels), and drug dose as a repeated of SCH 23390. Consumption of water and the weakest salt measure (four levels). Tests on individual dose treatments solution (0.45%) were most sensitive to its antidipsogenic against control values were made using Dunnett's *t*-test, effect. At the highest dose tested, however, the specificity of following one-way analysis of variance [31]. ED50 values for its effect was largely lost, and drink following one-way analysis of variance [31]. ED50 values for its effect was largely lost, and drinking was depressed gen-
SCH 23390 were determined as doses calculated to reduce erally across all but the most concentrated fluid intake to 50% of control baseline levels. In contrast, the selective D-2 antagonist, sulpiride, lacked

The 2.7% NaCl solution was significantly less acceptable than water $(p<0.01)$.

Apparatus **After 15 min, sulpiride had a significant effect on fluid con-**
 $\Gamma(2, 12)$ sumption, $F(3,126) = 4.20$, $p < 0.01$, and also after 30 min, The rats were individually tested in eight wooden boxes, $F(3,126)=3.87$, $p<0.05$. The smaller doses, 3.0 and 10.0 dimensions of which were $25\times25\times22.5$ cm. Each box mg/kg, were ineffective, but at 30 mg/kg, sulpiride p tions; F(3,36)=3.81, $p < 0.05$ (15 min intake) and, F(3,63)=5.34, $p < 0.01$ (30 min intake). There was no effect of

Drugs SCH 23390: D-I Antagonist

The second group of animals also showed typical saline Sulpiride, supplied as base (Chemitechna, Surrey, U.K.) are second group of animals also showed typical same
was suspended in isotonic saline, and a few drops of 0.1 N acceptance-rejection functions (Fig. 2). After 1 tion in rehydrating rats, $F(3,126)=60.43$, $p<0.001$ (Fig. there was no significant effect on the intake of either of the 7.9 ml. However, it had no effect on the consumption of 1.8% saline, for which the baseline level of consumption was *Procedure* **13.7 ml.** At the smallest dose tested, 0.01 mg/kg, SCH 23390 Before drug testing, all animals were adapted to drinking had no effect on intake for any fluid condition. The two
the test have for a 30 min pariod until stoody baseling conditions most sensitive to the suppressant effect

There was a similar profile for the effect of SCH 23390 on

The selective dopamine D-1 antagonist, SCH 23390, pro-*Data Analysis* **duced dose-dependent reductions in the ingestion of water** \overline{a} and NaCl solutions. Blockade of D-1 receptors, therefore,
The acceptance data were analysed using a 2-way was sufficient to produce a pronounced antidipsogenic efof SCH 23390. Consumption of water and the weakest salt erally across all but the most concentrated salt solution.

antidipsogenic activity. At 30 mg/kg, it produced significant and D-2 selective binding characteristics have been tested in increases in fluid consumption, cf. [13]. In contrast to ben- the salt acceptance-rejection paradigm. zodiazepines and barbiturates, which elevate the entire The opposite effects of sulpiride and SCH 23390 we re-
acceptance-rejection function across a range of NaCl con-
port here emphasize the potential importance of the D acceptance-rejection function across a range of NaCl con-
centrations [9,26], sulpiride's hyperdipsic effect was more dopamine receptor distinction in accounts of the behavioural centrations [9,26], sulpiride's hyperdipsic effect was more limited. Its effect was to raise selectively the ascending limb effects of neuroleptics. In further support of the view that of the acceptance-rejection function, increasing the intake of dopamine receptor subtype is relev of the acceptance-rejection function, increasing the intake of water and hypotonic NaCl. These data suggest that dopamine may act at D-2 receptors to inhibit the consump-
that SCH 23390 suppressed responding for brain stimulation,
tion of water and weak salt solutions, but not of stronger salt while sulpiride (50 mg/kg) had no effec tion of water and weak salt solutions, but not of stronger salt solutions. It is interesting to note that, after IP administra-
tion, sulpiride accumulates preferentially in the tics, has some cataleptogenic activity in rats [19]. This may tion, sulpiride accumulates preferentially in the tics, has some cataleptogenic activity in rats [19]. This may
hypothalamus, hippocampus, cerebellum and medulla ob- contribute to behavioural decrements produced by the com hypothalamus, hippocampus, cerebellum and medulla ob-
longata [20]. An effect in one or more of these regions may

piride may be relevant to the distinction between D-1 and not readily explained in terms of either reduced reward [21], D-2 receptors. A number of neuroleptics, including chlor- or motor impairment [19]. promazine, haloperidol, spiroperidol and clozapine, displace [3H] SCH 23390 binding [I]. Blockade of D-1 receptors may, therefore, account for at least some of the antidipsogenic effects of neuroleptics. SCH 23390 does not bind to sites
labelled with [³H] sulpiride [11], and therefore blockade of We thank the pharmaceutical companies for labelled with [3H] sulpiride [11], and therefore blockade of We thank the pharmaceutical companies for generously donating dopamine D-2 receptors may be necessary to induce a sulpiride and SCH 23390. Mr. D. J. Barber provi dopamine D-2 receptors may be necessary to induce a sulpiride and SCH 23390. Mr. D. J. Barber provided excellent tech-
hyperdipsic response. Nevertheless, these distinctions have nical assistance. D.B.G was supported by a to be made tentatively, until additional compounds with $D-1$ sity of Birmingham.

of dopamine receptor blockade, a recent study has shown longata [20]. An effect in one or more of these regions may pound, but our data showed that SCH 23390 can exert a
underlie sulpiride's hyperdipsic effect. derlie sulpiride's hyperdipsic effect.
The behavioral distinctions between SCH 23390 and sul-
The behavioral distinctions between SCH 23390 and sul-
account for the selectivity of the drug's effect, although it is account for the selectivity of the drug's effect, although it is

nical assistance. D.B.G was supported by a grant from the Univer-

REFERENCES

- *in vivo. Eur J Pharmacol* 120: 143-144. 1986. *macol* 98:311-312, 1984.
- Characterization of the binding of ³H-SCH 23390, a selective D-I receptor antagonist ligand, in rat striatum. *Life Sci* 35: and female rats. *Neuropharmacoloey* 25: 743-747. 1986.
- 3. Block, M. L. and A. E. Fisher. Cholinergic and dopaminergic induced water intake: Evidence for a diversion blocking a sents modulate water intake elicited by deprivation. *Inacol Biochem Behav* 4: 725–728, 1976. blocking agents modulate water intake elicited by deprivation, hypovolemia, hypertonicity and isoproterenol. *Pharmacol* 15. Hyttel. J. SCH 23390--the first selective dopamine D-1 an-
Biochem Behav 3: 251-262, 1975. **1983** tagonist. Eur J Pharmacol 91: 153-154, 1983.
- antagonist SCH 23390 in comparison with neuroleptics. *Life Sci*
- 5. Cross, A. J., R. D. Marshall, J. A. Johnson and F. Owen. Pref-
erential inhibition of ligand binding to calf striatal dopamine D1 receptors by SCH 23390. *Neuropharmacology* 22: 1327-1329, 1983.
- drinking behaviour: a brief review. Prog Neuropsychophar-
- the adrenalectomised rat. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* **48:** 167-172, radio *Psychol* **1955**. $1955.$ 1983.
- 8. Falk, J. L. Determining changes in vital functions: ingestion. In: 21. Nakajima, S. and G. M. McKenzie. Reduction of the rewarding
- 9. Falk, J. L. and M. Tang. Chlordiazepoxide injection elevates in 923, 1986.

NaCl solution acceptance-rejection function. *Pharmacol* 22. Peres, V. L., C. G. Gentil, F. G. Graeff and M. R. Covian. NaCl solution acceptance-rejection function. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 21: 449–451, 1984.
- 10. Freedman. S. B.. J. A. Poat and G. N. Woodruff. ³H-Sulpiride, a ligand for neuroleptic receptors. *Neuropharmacology* 20:
- tors. In: *Neurobiology of Dopamine Systems.* edited by W. in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* 70: I51P, 1980. Winlow and R. Markstein. Manchester: Manchester University press. 1986. pp. 25-39.
- 1. Andersen, P. H., E. B. Nielsen, F. C. Gronvald and C. Brae- 12. Gehlert, D. R. and J. K. Wamsley. Autoradiographic localiza-
strup. Some atypical neuroleptics inhibit [³H] SCH 23390 binding tion of [³H] sulpiride bi tion of [³H] sulpiride binding sites in the rat brain. *Eur J Phar-macol* 98: 311-312, 1984.
- 2. Billard, W., V. Ruperto, G. Crosby, L. C. Iorio and A. Barnett. 13. Gilbert, D. B. and S. J. Cooper. Naloxone antagonizes the Characterization of the binding of ³H-SCH 23390, a selective hyperdipsic effect of sulpirid
	- 14. Grupp, L. A. Time dependent action of pimozide on deprivation induced water intake: Evidence for a direct drug effect. *Phar-*
	- *b* tagonist. *Eur J Pharmacol* 91: 153-154, 1983. **16. Iorio, L. C., A. Barnett, F. H. Leitz, V. P. Houser and C. A.**
- 4. Christensen, A. V., J. Arnt, J. Hyttel, J.-J. Larsen and O. 16. lorio, L. C., A. Barnett, F. H. Leitz, V. P. Houser and C. A. Svendsen. Pharmacological effects of a specific dopamine D-1 Korduba. SCH 23390, a potential Svendsen. Pharmacological effects of a specific dopamine D-1 Korduba. SCH 23390, a potential benzazepine antipsychotic
antagonist SCH 23390 in comparison with neuroleptics. Life Sci with unique interactions on dopaminergic 34: 152%1540, 1984. *Exp Ther* 226: 462-468. 1983.
	- novel class of dopamine antagonists. *Life Sci* 25: 479-486, 1979.
18. Kebabian, J. W. and D. B. Calne. Multiple receptors for
	- 1983. dopamine. *Nature* 277: 93-96, 1979.
- 6. Dourish, C. T. Dopaminergic involvement in the control of 19. Morelli, M. and G. DiChiara. Catalepsy induced by SCH 23390 drinking behaviour: a brief review. Prog Neuropsychophar- in rats. Eur J Pharmacol 117: 179–185.
- *macol Biol Psychiatry 7*: 487–493, 1983.
 Epstein, A. N. Alizuchi, A. N. Kitagawa and Y. Miyachi. Regional distribu-
 Epstein, A. N. and E. Stellar. The control of salt preference in tion of sultopride and sulpiride i 7. Epstein, A. N. and E. Stellar. The control of salt preference in tion of sultopride and sulpiride in rat brain measured by the adrenalectomised rat. J Comp Physiol Psychol 48: 167-172, radioimmunoassay. Psychopharmacolo
	- *Methods in Psychobiology. Vol I.* edited by R. D. Myers. New effect of brain stimulation by a blockade of dopamine D-I York: Academic Press, 1971, pp. 301–329.
Falk, J. L. and M. Tang. Chlordiazepoxide injection elevates in **1923**, 1986. **Paramacol** *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **24: 919–**
		- Antagonism of the dipsogenic action of intraseptal angiotensin II in the rat. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2: 597–602, 1974.
- a ligand for neuroleptic receptors. *Neuropharmacology* 20: 23. Poat, J. A., C. Summers and G. N. Woodruff. The effects of centrally administered dopamine and 2-amino-6.7-dihydroxy-1323–1326. 1981.
11. Freedman, S. B. and G. N. Woodruff. Brain dopamine recep- 1.2.3.4-tetra-hydronapthalene (ADTN) on water and food intake 1.2.3, 4-tetra-hydronapthalene (ADTN) on water and food intake
- and R. Dale. The relative attentuation of self-stimulation, eating biochemistry, physiology and drinking produced by dopamine-receptor blockade. 2281-2296, 1934. and drinking produced by dopamine-receptor blockade. 2281–2296.1984.
- after acute butyrophenone administration. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav 7: 295*–301, 1977.
- 26. Schmidt, H. Barbiturate effects on saline acceptance and post-ingestion variables. Pysiol Behav 1: 183-189, 1966.
- 27. Setler, P. E. The role of catecholamines in thirst. In: *The Neuropsychology of Thirst*, edited by A. N. Epstein, H. R. Kissileff and E. Stellar. Washington, DC: Winston. 1973, pp. 279-291.
- 24. Rolls, E. T., B. J. Rolls, P. H. Kelly, S. G. Shaw, R. J. Wood 28. Stoof, J. C. and J. W. Kebabian. Two dopamine receptors:
and R. Dale. The relative attentuation of self-stimulation, eating biochemistry. physiology an
- Psychopharmacologia **38:** 219–230, 1974. **29. Summers, C.. G. N. Woodruff, J. A. Poat and K. A. Munday** 25. Rowland, N. and D. J. Engle. Feeding and drinking interactions The effect of neuroleptic drugs on drinking induced by central administration. *Pharmacol Biochem* administration of angiotensin or carbachol. *Psychopharm Berlin* **560:** 291-294, 1979.
 30. Weiner, I. H. and E. Stellar. Salt preference of the rat deter
	- mined by a single-stimulus method. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 44: $394-401$, 1951.
	- 31. Winer, B. J. *Statistical Principles in Experimental Design.* 2nd edition. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1971.